

## Sepsis Mandates: Improving Inpatient Care While Advancing Quality Improvement

Colin R. Cooke, MD, MSc, MS; Theodore J. Iwashyna, MD, PhD

JAMA 2014;312(14):1397-1398

### PRESS RELEASE



*It's time to fight sepsis like we fight heart attack*

**ANN ARBOR, Mich.** — A decade ago, America's health care community took on heart attacks with gusto, harnessing the power of research and data to make sure that every patient got the best possible care. The same has happened with heart failure and pneumonia. Now, say a pair of University of Michigan Medical School experts, it's time to do the same for sepsis.

Sepsis may not have the same name recognition as heart attacks -- but it now affects more hospital patients, and leads to more hospital costs, than any other diagnosis. More people die from sepsis than die from prostate cancer, breast cancer and AIDS combined.

In a new viewpoint article in the *Journal of the American Medical Association*, the authors lay out the case for a national system that would hold hospitals and care teams accountable for sepsis diagnosis and care. The federal government should set clear standards and targets for the kind of care that gives sepsis patients the best odds of surviving, they say. But unlike in previous efforts, the approach should incentivize better detection, start with regional collaboratives to determine the best approaches, and respond to new evidence from rapidly evolving sepsis research.

"Now's the time to focus on quality improvement in sepsis, because it has become one of the most important conditions in hospitals, it attacks more and more patients, and we can learn from the years of work in other conditions," says Colin Cooke, M.D., M.Sc., M.S., a U-M critical care physician who authored the article. "We believe that by creating a framework for quality improvement in sepsis care that takes into account evolving knowledge of this condition, we can improve patients' odds of survival and reduce variation in care."

If done right, he and co-author Theodore Iwashyna, M.D., Ph.D., note, it could become a model for new ways to take on other conditions that send many patients to the hospital. The lack of a single diagnostic tool or test to quickly tell doctors that a patient has developed sepsis poses a major challenge, they say. So efforts to improve and speed detection must be part of any sepsis quality initiative.

"There is not just one magical test to detect sepsis," says Iwashyna. "Excellent sepsis care requires careful clinical judgment and good teamwork, but at the same time it has to happen fast. This is not easy. But we have to improve our quality of care even when it is not easy."

A key path forward, they say, will be an effort to learn from the experience of hospitals and health systems that have cut their own sepsis mortality rates by studying and improving the care they provide and the systems that support it.

Links to the **full text** and additional information can be found here: <http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=1911335>